

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,377	01/05/2001	Katsuhiko Sumita	2224-0181P	3526	
2292	7590 02/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STE PO BOX 747	EWART KOLASCH	SHEWAREGEL	SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
	RCH, VA 22040-074	7	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1774		

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	09/754,377	SUMITA ET AL.	ab.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	. <u>UU</u> .
	Betelhem Shewareged	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress
Period for Reply	(IO OFF TO EVOIDE + MONTH!	a) == a	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(á). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com (35 U.S.C. § 133).	imunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 De	ecember 2003.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,7,9,13,14,16 and 17 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 17 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,13,14 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3,4,6,7 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the order action is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		152)

Application/Control Number: 09/754,377

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's response filed on 12/03/2003 has been fully considered. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Luckenbach (US 4,803,256).

Luckenbach discloses a method of treating a polyester textile in order to enhance the printability (col. 4, line 33) of the polyester by contacting the polyester with an active substance such as aromatic dicarboxylic acid (claims 4 and 13). The textile is woven (claim 9). With respect to solubility value of the organic acid it is elementary that the mere recitation of newly discovered function or property, inherently possessed by things in the prior art, does not cause a claim drawn to those things to distinguish over the prior art. *In re swinehart et al.*, 169 USPQ 226 at 229. Since the Luckenbach reference teaches all of Applicant's claimed compositional and positional limitations, it is inherent that the reference article function in the same manner claimed by Applicant. The burden is upon Applicant to prove that the subject matter shown to be in the prior art does not possess the characteristic relied on.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Luckenbach (US 4,803,256), as applied to claims 1, 13 and 16, above.

Application/Control Number: 09/754,377

Art Unit: 1774

Luckenbach does not disclose the claimed amount of the active substance. The experimental modification of this prior art in order to ascertain optimum operating conditions fails to render applicants' claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to adjust the amount of the active substance in order to optimize the printability of the surface of the textile. A prima facie case of obviousness may be rebutted, however, where the results of the optimizing variable, which is known to be result-effective, are unexpectedly good. *In re Boesch and Slaney*, 205 USPQ 215.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's argument is based on that the prior art does not disclose aromatic dicarboxylic acid as the active substance; instead it discloses a copolymer of (A) ethylene glycol polyethylene glycol, (B) aromatic dicarboxylic acid and (C) alkali metal salt of a sulfonated aromatic dicarboxylic acid as the active substance. This argument is not persuasive because even though the copolymer of (A) ethylene glycol polyethylene glycol, (B) aromatic dicarboxylic acid and (C) alkali metal salt of a sulfonated aromatic dicarboxylic acid is not equivalent to the claimed aromatic dicarboxylic acid, the aromatic dicarboxylic acid is not recited in all the claims or at least in the independent claim 1. Furthermore, definition of an organic acid in the specification does not expressly exclude the prior art's active substance containing aromatic dicarboxylic acid.

Application/Control Number: 09/754,377

Art Unit: 1774

Applicant further argues that Luckenbach fails to tech the technical relation of printability and the aromatic dicarboxylic acid as well as the function or role of the aromatic dicarboxylic acid. This is not persuasive because Luckenbach discloses a method of treating a textile so as to enhance printability of the textile see col. 4, line 33 of Luckenbach.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 3, 4, 6, 7 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest art Hamada et al. (US 6,177,181 B1) neither teaches nor suggests its porous membrane has an organic acid with certain solubility as the claimed invention.
- 6. Claim 17 is allowed. The closest art Luckenbach fails to disclose an aromatic dicarboxylic acid as the active substance.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur. 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H Kelly can be reached on 571-272-1526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Betelhem'Shewareged

February 15, 2004.